ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA567226 10/25/2013

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91212056
Party	Defendant Buzztakla Jaa
	Buzztable, Inc
Correspondence	BUZZTABLE, INC
Address	214 W 29TH ST FL 5
	NEW YORK, NY 10001-5333
	tm@cdas.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	John L. Slafsky
Filer's e-mail	jslafsky@wsgr.com, hschroeder@wsgr.com, trademarks@wsgr.com
Signature	/John L. Slafsky/
Date	10/25/2013
Attachments	Applicant's Answer to Notice of Opposition.pdf(171267 bytes)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NTN Buzztime, Inc.,	Opposition No. 91212056
Opposer,	
v. )	) APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION
Buzztable, Inc.,	)
Applicant.	Serial No. 85834904

Buzztable, Inc. ("Applicant"), through its undersigned attorneys, hereby submits this Answer to the Notice of Opposition filed by NTN Buzztime, Inc. ("Opposer") in the above-captioned proceeding. Unless expressly admitted herein, each allegation contained in the Notice of Opposition is denied.

- 1. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 2. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 3. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 4. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 5. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 6. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 7. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 8. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.

-1-

5878635

- 9. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.
- 10. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 11. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 12. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 13. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
  - 14. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition.
  - 15. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition.

#### COUNT I

- 16. Applicant repeats its admissions and denials of Paragraphs 1-15 herein.
- 17. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition.

#### COUNTS II & III

- 18. Applicant repeats it admissions and denials of Paragraphs 1-17 herein.
- 19. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
- 20. Applicant lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 20 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the allegations.
  - 21. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 21 of the Notice of Opposition.
  - 22. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 22 of the Notice of Opposition.

#### First Affirmative Defense

23. The Notice of Opposition fails to set forth facts sufficient to entitle Opposer to the relief sought.

-2-

### Second Affirmative Defense

24. The Notice of Opposition is barred by the doctrines of waiver, acquiescence, and estoppel.

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Dated: October 25, 2013

**Professional Corporation** 

By: /John L. Slafsky/ John L. Slafsky Hillary I. Schroeder

Attorneys for Applicant Buzztable, Inc.

Please address all communications concerning this proceeding to:

John L. Slafsky Hillary I. Schroeder Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300

Fax: (650) 493-6811 trademarks@wsgr.com

> 5878635 -3

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL** 

I, Shelie Plourde, declare:

I am employed in Santa Clara County. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to

the within action. My business address is Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill

Road, Palo Alto, California 94304-1050.

I am readily familiar with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati's practice for collection

and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In the

ordinary course of business, correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal

Service on this date.

On this date, I served APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

on each person listed below, by placing the document described above in an envelope

addressed as indicated below, which I sealed. I placed the envelope for collection and mailing

with the United States Postal Service on this day, following ordinary business practices at

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati.

Warner R. Broaddus NTN Buzztime Inc.

200 Rutherford Rd., #200 Carlsbad, CA 92008

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Palo Alto, California on October 25, 2013.

/s/ Shelie Plourde

Shelie Plourde